Prevalence and incidence of chest wall deformities in children below 18 years old: the first systematic review and meta-analysis

Yongbin Pu, Yang Jian, Wenzhe Huang, Zhoujian Yang

Mianyang Central Hospital, Affiliated to School of Medicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Mianyang, China

Submitted: 15 April 2024; Accepted: 18 May 2024 Online publication: 6 June 2024

Arch Med Sci DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms/188876 Copyright © 2024 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: The chest wall can exhibit a wide range of abnormalities, from pectus carinatum (PC), which is a protrusion defect, to pectus excavatum (PE), a depression defect. This meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the prevalence and incidence of chest wall deformities (CWDs) in children aged below 18.

Material and methods: The databases of Cochrane Library, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science were searched until March 23, 2024, with an age limitation. The CMA version 3.0 software was used to calculate the effect sizes, presenting the event rate (ER). A subgroup analysis was conducted based on continents.

Results: Out of 1,510 initial records, 34 articles were entered into the analysis. The pooled ER of PE, PC, pectus deformities (PDs), and rib anomaly is 0.5%, 0.6%, 1.2%, and 0.05%, respectively. The pooled ER is 11.4% for the Marfan's syndrome incidence in PDs, 19.7% for the mitral valve prolapse incidence in PDs, 6.2% for the incidence of CWD in cancers, 2.6% for the incidence of PDs in congenital heart disease, 16% for the incidence of scoliosis in PE, 11.1% for the incidence of cardiac anomalies in PDs, 13.4% for the incidence of abnormal electrocardiogram in PDs, 12.6% for the incidence of aortic root dilation in PDs, 6.3% for the tricuspid valve prolapse incidence in PDs, and 2% for the congenital heart disease incidence in PE.

Conclusions: The higher incidence of certain conditions in individuals with PDs underscores the importance of regular monitoring and comprehensive care for these patients.

Key words: chest wall deformities, prevalence, incidence, cardiac anomalies, meta-analysis.

Introduction

The chest wall can display a variety of abnormalities. These range from pectus carinatum (PC), the second most common deformity, characterized by a protruding chest [1], to pectus excavatum (PE) or "funnel chest", which is the most prevalent anterior chest wall deformity (CWD), where the chest appears sunken in [2, 3]. In certain instances, such as Poland syndrome, there may be a total absence of ribs and pectoral muscles [2]. PE can be readily corrected using minimally invasive methods, and PC can be rectified using safe, established traditional techniques [4]. Approximately 1% of the population is affected by pectus deformities (PDs) [4].

Corresponding author:

Yongbin Pu Mianyang Central Hospital Affiliated to School of Medicine University of Electronic Science and Technology of China Mianyang 621000, China E-mail: puyongbin5@gmail. com



Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

Indexes of cardiac deformity, like the cardiac compression and cardiac asymmetry indexes that focus on cardiac deformity, are potentially valuable tools for preoperative evaluation of PE and for predicting the outcome of repair using the chest wall compression index [5]. Surgical intervention for Poland syndrome is typically reserved for patients with severe rib aplasia and significant depression deformity. Various types of ectopia cordis, including sternal defects, are also discussed [6, 7]. Notably, even after surgical correction, there is a significant decrease in the total lung and inspiratory vital capacities, likely due to reduced chest wall compliance [8].

Over 5% of patients who present with a primary complaint of PD will be diagnosed with Marfan's syndrome, a figure that stands in contrast to the 0.3% prevalence in the general population [9]. It seems that only around 4% to 5% of individuals with severe anterior CWDs exhibit scoliosis [10]. Patients suffering from PE deformity exhibit varying degrees of right ventricular distortion, which is linked to a 6% decrease in resting right ventricular ejection fraction compared to healthy individuals [11]. For children needing an extracardiac conduit to rectify their congenital heart defect, it is advised to first repair the PE, followed by cardiac lesion repair 6 weeks or later, to prevent potential external compression of the conduit by the indented sternum [12]. Research has uncovered multiple harmful functional and structural heart changes in PE. which include indications of irregular diastolic and systolic function in the right ventricle and constriction of the atrioventricular groove, which intensify under stress and are correlated with the severity of the malformation [13-15].

For many years, an abundance of research has been performed to enhance the quality of care through a deeper comprehension of the etiopathogenesis, epidemiology, occurrence, and clinical characteristics of these deformities. Herein, a systematic review and meta-analysis was designed to assess, for the first time, the frequency and incidence of CWDs in children under the age of 18.

Material and methods

Research design

The meta-analysis was managed following the protocols of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) [16]. CoCoPop (condition, context, population) framework: What is the prevalence and incidence of CWDs in children in the world? The study has not been registered in any database.

Determination of articles

A comprehensive investigation was conducted by a single author (Y.P.) in the databases of Cochrane

Library, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science up until March 23, 2024, with an age limitation. The titles and abstracts of the papers were evaluated. Following that, the complete texts of the papers that satisfied the selection criteria were retrieved. The search strategy incorporated: ("pectus anomal*" or "chest anomal*" or "chest wall deformit*" or "pectus excavatum" or "pectus carinatum" or "Poland syndrome" or "sternal cleft" or "ectopia cordis" or "Jeune syndrome" or "Jarcho Levin syndrome" or "keeled chest" or "funnel chest") and ("prevalen*" or "incidenc*" or "occur*" or "Frequency") and ("child*" or "pediatric*" or "teenager*" or "adolescent*" or "juvenile" or "infant""). The bibliographies of the obtained articles were scrutinized to ensure no relevant research was missed. Another author (Y.J.) reconfirmed the search and selection procedure. Any disagreements between the two authors were settled by a third author (W.H.). Since this study is a meta-analysis, it does not require ethical approval.

Eligibility criteria

The criteria for inclusion were as follows: 1) Case-control, cross-sectional, and cohort studies that reported on CWDs. 2) Patients who had not received any treatment or were undergoing surgery. 3) Studies that included cases of individuals under 18 years old. Conversely, review articles, articles with incomplete or no data, animal studies, articles lacking a control group, book chapters, and duplicate studies were omitted.

Data summary

Two authors (Y.P. and Z.Y.) independently gathered the data from the studies that were incorporated into the meta-analysis. The collected data encompassed the first author's name, the year of publication, the study's country, the continents where the cases were located, the patient count, and the quality score.

Quality evaluation

One author (LL) conducted the quality assessment using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) tool [17]. This tool evaluates a study from three broad perspectives (modified for cross-sectional studies): the selection process of the study groups (5 points), the comparability of the groups (1 point), and the outcome of interest (3 points) for case-control studies. A study with a score of 7 or more is considered high-quality (https://cdn-links.lww. com/permalink/ejgh/a/ejgh_31_9_2019_07_18_nguyen_15743_sdc1.pdf).

Statistical analysis

The Comprehensive Meta-Analysis version 3.0 (CMA 3.0) software was used to compute the

effect size, presenting the event rate (ER). In the event that the $P_{\text{heterogeneity}}$ was under 0.1 ($l^2 > 50\%$), signifying substantial heterogeneity, a random-effects model [18] was applied. Conversely, if the heterogeneity was not significant, a fixed-effect model [19] was put into use.

A subgroup analysis was conducted based on continents. Subgroup analysis is a method that enables deeper investigation to understand how specific variables influence the result of secondary data analysis. The potential for publication bias was assessed using Begg's test [20], and the asymmetry level was evaluated using Egger's test [21]. The *p*-values were derived from both tests and a two-sided *p*-value of less than 0.10 suggested the existence of publication bias. In terms of sensitivity analysis, analyses were used to evaluate the robustness and reliability of the combined ER.

Results

Study selection

The total number of identified records was 1,510, comprising 1,508 records identified through main databases and an additional 2 records from other electronic sources (Figure 1). After removing duplicates, 856 records remained for further assessment. Out of the 856 screened records, 49 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. The flowchart shows that 807 records were excluded during this step, along with 15 full-text articles with the reasons. Finally, 34 articles [9, 12, 22–53] were included in the systematic review and the meta-analysis.

Characteristics of the articles

Table I lists articles included in the meta-analysis. The articles are characterized by the first author and publication year, the country of the study, the number of cases studied, and a quality score for each study. The studies were conducted in various countries, with a significant number from the USA and Turkey. Other countries include Spain, Germany, Brazil, Iran, Korea, China, Thailand, Italy, Denmark, and Poland. The number of cases in these studies varies widely, from as few as 15 cases to as many as 25,117 cases. Some studies specify the type of cases, such as those with PE, PDs, congenital heart disease (CHD), or cancers. The quality score ranges from 6 to 8. Most of the studies have a quality score of \geq 7, indicating relatively high-quality research. The studies have been published from 1985 to 2023, showing a long-term interest in this research area.

Pooled ERs

Figure 2 shows the prevalence of PE, a condition where the sternum sinks into the chest. The



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study selection *7 reviews. 4 mixed child and adult individuals. 1 had no data. 3 included individuals under treatment/surgery.

pooled ER is 0.5% (5% CI: 0.3–0.9%). A high *l*² value (91.41%) may suggest substantial heterogeneity.

Figure 3 shows the prevalence of PC, a condition where the sternum protrudes. The pooled ER is 0.6% (5% CI: 0.5–0.8%). A high l^2 value (85.93%) may suggest substantial heterogeneity.

Figure 4 shows the prevalence of PDs. The pooled ER is 1.2% (5% CI: 0.9–1.5%). A high *I*² value (92.26%) may suggest substantial heterogeneity.

Figure 5 shows the prevalence of rib anomaly. The pooled ER is 0.05% (5% CI: 0.01–0.16%). A high *I*² value (78.02%) may suggest substantial heterogeneity.

Figure 6 shows the Marfan's syndrome incidence in PDs ($l^2 = 91.21\%$) and the mitral valve prolapse (MVP) incidence in PDs. The pooled ER is 11.4% (5% CI: 3.5–31.4%) for the Marfan's syndrome incidence in PDs and 19.7% (5% CI: 10.2–34.7%) for the MVP incidence in PDs.

Figure 7 shows the incidence of CWDs in cancers ($l^2 = 82.50\%$), the incidence of PDs in CHD ($l^2 = 99.40\%$, and the scoliosis incidence in PE ($l^2 = 91.41\%$). The pooled ER is 6.2% (5% CI: 2.8–14.1%) for the incidence of CWDs in cancers, 2.6% (5% CI:

Table I. Characteristics of the	e articles included	in the meta-analysis
---------------------------------	---------------------	----------------------

First author, publication year	Country	Number of cases	Quality score
Golladay, 1985 [31]	USA	42 with pectus excavatum	6
Shamberger, 1988 [12]	USA	20860	7
Cascos, 1989 [25]	Spain	2000 with congenital heart disease	7
Park, 1990 [38]	USA	87 with pectus deformities	7
Seliem, 1992 [43]	USA	31 with pectus excavatum	7
Yücesan, 1993 [52]	Turkey	19750	8
Donnelly, 1999 [29]	USA	200	7
Mandhan, 1999 [37]	USA	129 with pectus deformities	7
Soysal, 1999 [45]	Turkey	2500	8
Trobs, 2001 [48]	Germany	45 with cancers	7
Berktafl, 2001 [24]	Turkey	3183	7
Esme, 2006 [30]	Turkey	3779	8
Rhee, 2008 [42]	USA	37 with pectus excavatum	7
Westphal, 2009 [51]	Brazil	1332	7
Rajabi-Mashhadi, 2010 [41]	Iran	13586	8
Coskun, 2010 [28]	Turkey	1342	7
Hong, 2011 [32]	Korea	248 with pectus excavatum	7
Shu, 2011 [44]	China	406 with pectus excavatum	7
Venkatramani, 2013 [49]	USA	109 with cancers	7
Tokur, 2016 [46]	Turkey	25117	8
Jantarawan, 2017 [34]	Thailand	52 with congenital heart disease	7
Park, 2017 [39]	USA	468 with pectus deformities	7
Zhong, 2017 [53]	China	15 with pectus excavatum	7
Tomaszewski, 2017 [47]	Poland	54 with pectus excavatum	7
Akkaş, 2018 [23]	Turkey	14108	8
Behr, 2019 [9]	USA	241 with pectus deformities	7
Port, 2020 [40]	USA	155 with pectus carinatum	7
Chelleri, 2021 [26]	Italy	693 with cancers	7
Christensen, 2021 [27]	Denmark	1046 with pectus excavatum	7
Wang, 2022 [50]	China	256 with pectus excavatum	7
Acipayam, 2023 [22]	Turkey	36 with pectus excavatum	8
Katrancioglu. 2023 [36]	Turkey	15862	8
Karabulut, 2023 [35]	Turkey	109 with pectus deformities	8
Hou, 2023 [33]	China	575 with pectus excavatum	6

0.1–32.9%) for the incidence of PDs in CHD, and 16% (5% CI: 9.5–25.7%) for the incidence of scoliosis in PE.

Figure 8 shows the incidence of cardiac anomalies in PDs ($l^2 = 98.19\%$), the incidence of abnormal electrocardiogram in PDs, the incidence of aortic root dilation in PDs ($l^2 = 76.30\%$), the tricuspid valve prolapse (TVP) incidence in PDs, and the CHD incidence in PE ($l^2 = 00.00\%$). The pooled ER is 11.1% (5% CI: 3.0–33.3%) for the incidence of cardiac anomalies in PDs, 13.4% (5% CI: 6.2– 26.9%) for the incidence of abnormal electrocardiogram in PDs, 12.6% (5% CI: 6.8–22.2%) for the incidence of aortic root dilation in PDs, 6.3% (5% CI: 0.3–62.3%) for the incidence of TVP in PDs, and 2% (5% CI: 1.2–3.4%) for the incidence of CHD in PE.

Subgroup analysis

A subgroup analysis was done based on continent (Table II). The result showed that the prevalence of PE, the prevalence of PC, and the prevalence of PDs in Asian individuals were higher than in American individuals. In addition, the MVP incidence in PDs and the incidence of scoliosis in PE in American individuals were higher than in Asian individuals.

Prevalence and incidence of chest wall deformities in children below 18 years old: the first systematic review and meta-analysis

Study name		Statis	tics for eacl		Event rate and 95% CI	
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value	
Donnelly, 1999	0.020	0.008	0.052	-7.705	< 0.001	
Katrancioglu, 2023	0.006	0.005	0.007	-49.674	< 0.001	
Rajabi-Mashhadi, 2010	0.005	0.004	0.007	-44.672	< 0.001	
Westphal, 2009	0.010	0.006	0.017	-16.575	< 0.001	
Coskun, 2010	0.026	0.019	0.036	-21.136	< 0.001	
Akkas, 2018	0.005	0.004	0.007	-45.370	< 0.001	
Esme, 2006	0.005	0.003	0.008	-22.991	< 0.001	
Soysal, 1999	0.003	0.001	0.006	-15.523	< 0.001	
Berktafl, 2001	0.003	0.001	0.005	-17.572	< 0.001	
Tokur, 2016	0.001	0.000	0.001	-28.024	< 0.001	
	0.005	0.003	0.009	-20.238	< 0.001	



Figure 2. Prevalence of pectus excavatum ($l^2 = 91.41\%$)

Study name Event rate and 95% CI Statistics for each study Z-value P-value Event Lower Upper limit limit rate 0.052 -7.705 Donnelly, 1999 0.020 0.008 < 0.001 Katrancioglu, 2023 0.008 -52.023 0.007 0.006 < 0.001 Rajabi-Mashhadi, 2010 0.004 0.003 0.006 -41.433 < 0.001 Westphal, 2009 0.007 0.004 0.013 -14.921 < 0.001 Coskun, 2010 0.006 0.003 0.012 -14.428 < 0.001 Akkas, 2018 0.009 0.007 0.010 -52.026 < 0.001 Esme, 2006 0.002 0.001 0.004 -15.772 < 0.001 Soysal, 1999 0.010 0.006 0.014 -22.604 < 0.001 Berktafl, 2001 0.001 0.000 0.003 -12.060 < 0.001 Tokur, 2016 0.009 0.008 0.010 -70.797 < 0.001 0.006 0.005 0.008 -36.065 < 0.001



Figure 3. Prevalence of pectus carinatum ($l^2 = 85.93\%$)

Study name Statistics for each study Event rate and 95% CI **Event** Lower Upper Z-value P-value rate limit limit Donnelly, 1999 0.040 0.020 0.078 -8.807 < 0.001 Katrancioglu, 2023 0.013 0.011 0.015 -61.753 < 0.001 Rajabi-Mashhadi, 2010 0.010 0.008 0.012 -52.870 < 0.001 Westphal, 2009 0.017 0.011 0.025 -19.010 < 0.001 Coskun, 2010 0.032 0.024 0.043 -21.988 < 0.001 Akkas, 2018 0.014 0.012 0.016 -59.334 < 0.001 Esme, 2006 0.007 0.004 0.010 -24.975 < 0.001 Soysal, 1999 0.012 0.009 0.018 -24.222 < 0.001 Berktafl, 2001 0.004 0.002 0.007 -19.282 < 0.001 Tokur. 2016 0.010 -71.965 0.009 0.011 < 0.001 Yucesan, 1993 0.008 0.007 -59.679 0.009 < 0.001 0.012 0.009 0.015 -36.333 < 0.001 -0.05 -0.03 0 0.03 0.05

Figure 4. Prevalence of pectus excavatum + pectus carinatum (/2 = 92.26%)

Sensitivity analysis

Publication bias

Both "cumulative" and "one-study-removed" analyses recommended the stability of the pooled results.

Neither Egger's nor Begg's tests indicated any publication bias among the studies (p > 0.10). The Supplementary Figures S1-S12 present the funnel plots for the analyses that included at least three studies.

Yongbin Pu, Yang Jian, Wenzhe Huang, Zhoujian Yang

Study name		Statis	tics for eac	h study	Event rate and 95% CI					
·	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value					
Katrancioglu, 2023 Akkas 2018	0.0004	0.0002	0.0009	-20.4348	< 0.0001					
Akkas, 2018	0.0001	0.0000	0.0005	-9.5541	< 0.0001					
Esme, 2006	0.0019	0.0009	0.0039	-16.6249	< 0.0001					
Soysal, 1999	0.0004	0.0001	0.0028	-7.8221	< 0.0001					
	0.0005	0.0001	0.0016	-12.2418	< 0.0001			T		
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	10

Figure 5. Prevalence of rib anomaly ($l^2 = 78.02\%$)

Study name		Statis	tics for eac	h study			Event rate and 95% CI				
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	<i>P</i> -value						
Golladay, 1985	0.095	0.036	0.228	-4.283	< 0.001			-			
Karabulut, 2023	0.256	0.173	0.361	-4.215	< 0.001						
Behr, 2019	0.054	0.032	0.091	-10.045	< 0.001						
	0.114	0.035	0.314	-3.171	0.002				•		
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0	

D										
Study name		Statis	tics for eacl	h study			5% CI			
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value					
Karabulut, 2023	0.275	0.200	0.366	-4.515	< 0.001					
Park, 1990	0.230	0.153	0.330	-4.745	< 0.001					
Seliem, 1992	0.548	0.374	0.711	0.538	0.591				-#-	
Acipayam, 2023	0.556	0.393	0.707	0.665	0.506				-#	
Behr, 2019	0.191	0.146	0.245	-8.812	< 0.001					
Port, 2020	0.032	0.013	0.075	-7.482	< 0.001					
Mandhan, 1999	0.016	0.004	0.060	-5.825	< 0.001					
	0.197	0.102	0.347	-3.566	< 0.001					
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0

Figure 6. A – Incidence of Marfan's syndrome in pectus deformities ($l^2 = 91.21\%$). **B** – Incidence of mitral valve prolapse in pectus deformities ($l^2 = 92.19\%$)

Discussion

۸

D

The study discovered that a significant proportion (44%) of patients who underwent surgical correction for PE had a history of comorbidities and previous medical conditions. There was a noticeable prevalence of conditions such as hernias, allergies, asthma, psychiatric disorders, and past polyp-/tonsillectomies (27), as well as cardiac abnormalities, Marfan's syndrome (9), scoliosis, cancers (27), obstructive sleep apnea, and pulmonary blebs [54, 55]. Children with a family history of CWDs tend to have a higher awareness of the deformity, especially in more insular societies. This is because the knowledge of the disease is already present within the family [23].

This present systematic review and meta-analysis presents various figures showing the prevalence and incidence of different CWDs and related conditions. PE and PC have a prevalence of 0.5% and 0.6%, respectively, while PDs overall have a prevalence of 1.2%. Rib anomalies are less common with a prevalence of 0.05%. The incidence of Marfan's syndrome and MVP in PDs is 11.4% and 19.7% respectively. The study also shows the incidence of CWDs in cancers (6.2%), PDs in CHD (2.6%), and scoliosis in PE (16%). Cardiac anomalies, abnormal electrocardiograms, aortic root dilation, TVP, and CHD in PE have incidences of 11.1%, 13.4%, 12.6%, 6.3%, and 2% respectively. A subgroup analysis showed a higher prevalence of PDs in Asian individuals compared to American individuals, but a higher incidence of MVP and scoliosis in American individuals. The results were found to be stable and no publication bias was detected.

In younger patients, the thoracic wall's flexibility allows the heart to shift to the left, reducing heart compression somewhat [22]. However, as individuals age, the thoracic wall loses its flexPrevalence and incidence of chest wall deformities in children below 18 years old: the first systematic review and meta-analysis

A Study name		Statis	tics for eac	h study			Event rate and 95% CI					
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value							
Trobs, 2001	0.067	0.022	0.187	-4.416	< 0.001							
Chelleri, 2021	0.035	0.023	0.051	-16.018	< 0.001							
Venkatramani, 2013	0.110	0.064	0.184	-6.829	< 0.001							
	0.062	0.026	0.141	-5.850	< 0.001							
						1.0	0.5	0	0.5	1.0		

-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.
	Event	rate and 9	5% CI	

Study name	Statistics for each study									
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value					
Jantarawan, 2017	0.288	0.182	0.425	-2.950	0.003					
Sanchez Cascos, 1989	0.045	0.037	0.055	-28.323	< 0.001					
Shamberger, 1988	0.001	0.001	0.002	-32.129	< 0.001					
	0.026	0.001	0.329	-2.441	0.015					







ibility, becomes stiffer, and the heart's leftward shift decreases, leading to increased heart compression and symptoms [56]. A considerable number of PE patients show one or more functional and/or morphological changes in the heart [15]. Moreover, the extent of these changes correlates with chest deformation indexes and the cardiac compression classification, which pinpoints the maximum compression location on the right heart chambers [15]. Numerous studies in the literature have reported that CWDs impact cardiopulmonary functions. It has been reported that cardiopulmonary functions improve after surgery [57, 58].

A study conducted on a large Turkish population revealed that CWDs were more prevalent in boys (0.96%), with PC being the most common deformity [23]. It is well known that CWDs and scoliosis often occur together. Westphal et al. reported a 15% co-occurrence rate among Brazilian students, but this study found a lower rate of 5.03% [51]. Despite this, no link was discovered between the severity of the deformity and scoliosis, a conclusion also drawn by Frick in their review [10]. This discrepancy could be due to the absence of simultaneous inspection and radiological assessment in the studies.

In a comprehensive, single-center review spanning from 1987 to 2010, Kelly et al. found that 2.8% of patients who underwent surgical correction for PE were genetically diagnosed with Marfan's syndrome, and an additional 17% exhibited clinical signs indicative of Marfan's syndrome [59]. Numerous studies that explore the connections between PE, Marfan's syndrome, and cardiovascular defects primarily focus on patients who have undergone surgical repair for excavatum [9]. Cardiovascular defects linked to Marfan's syndrome are the primary contributors to morbidity and mortality in these patients [60, 61]. Furthermore, 75% of patients with Marfan's syndrome experience MVP, which can lead to complications such as mitral valve regurgitation [60, 61].

В

С

Α											
Study name		Statis	tics for eac	h study		Event rate and 95% CI					
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value						
Christensen, 2021	0.020	0.013	0.031	-17.637	< 0.001						
Behr, 2019	0.349	0.291	0.411	-4.627	< 0.001						
Wang, 2022	0.105	0.073	0.149	-10.507	< 0.001						
Port, 2020	0.155	0.106	0.221	-7.644	< 0.001						
	0.111	0.030	0.333	-2.937	0.003						
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0	

В

~

Study name		Statis	tics for eacl	h study	Event rate and 95% CI					
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value					
Wang, 2022	0.090	0.060	0.132	-10.594	< 0.001					
Tokur, 2016	0.192	0.148	0.245	-9.035	< 0.001					
	0.134	0.062	0.269	-4.238	< 0.001				•	
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0

Study name		Statis	tics for eac	h study		Event rate and 95% CI					
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value						
Port, 2020	0.071	0.040	0.124	-8.222	< 0.001						
Rhee, 2008	0.243	0.132	0.405	-2.962	0.003			-	-1		
Behr, 2019	0.120	0.085	0.168	-10.047	< 0.001				-		
	0.126	0.068	0.222	-5.574	< 0.001						
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0	

D												
Study name	Statistics for each study						Event rate and 95% CI					
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	<i>P</i> -value							
Acipayam, 2023	0.250	0.136	0.415	-2.854	0.004			4	-			
Behr, 2019	0.012	0.004	0.038	-7.528	< 0.001							
	0.063	0.003	0.623	-1.653	0.098							
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0		

E Study name		Statis	tics for eacl	h study	Event rate and 95% CI					
	Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-value	P-value					
Shu, 2011	0.022	0.012	0.042	-11.234	< 0.001					
Acipayam, 2023	0.028	0.004	0.173	-3.506	< 0.001			–		
Wang, 2022	0.016	0.006	0.041	-8.221	< 0.001					
	0.020	0.012	0.034	-14.339	< 0.001			Þ		
						-1.0	-0.5	0	0.5	1.0

Figure 8. A – Incidence of cardiac anomalies in pectus deformities ($l^2 = 98.19\%$). **B** – Incidence of abnormal electrocardiogram in pectus deformities. **C** – Incidence of aortic root dilation in pectus deformities ($l^2 = 76.30\%$). **D** – Incidence of tricuspid valve prolapse in pectus deformities. **E** – Incidence of congenital heart disease in pectus excavatum ($l^2 = 00.00\%$)

Group	Subgroup (N)		ER	95% CI	1 ²
Prevalence of pectus	Continent	Asia (8)	0.4%	0.2-0.8%	95.78%
excavatum		Americas (2)	1.2%	0.7-1.8%	37.18%
Prevalence of pectus carinatum	Continent	Asia (8)	0.6%	0.4–0.8%	88.36%
		Americas (2)	1.1%	0.4-3.1%	69.59%
Prevalence of pectus	Continent	Asia (9)	1.1%	0.8-1.4%	92.90%
deformities		Americas (2)	2.5%	1-5.8%	76.63%
Incidence of mitral valve	Continent	Asia (2)	40.1%	17.3-68.3%	88.83%
prolapse in pectus deformities		Americas (5)	13.3%	5.2-30.1%	92.64%
Incidence of scoliosis in pectus	Continent	Asia (4)	26%	19.2-34.3%	64.83%
excavatum		Americas (2)	5.2%	1.3-18.7%	83.09%

Table II. Subgroup analysis

Limitations: 1) The small numbers of studies published for each analysis, which meant that we had an incomplete subgroup analysis and no meta-regression analysis. 2) High heterogeneity between the studies and therefore the results should be interpreted with caution. Strengths: 1) The stability of the pooled results. 2) Lack of publication bias. 3) Having high quality in most studies.

In conclusion, this comprehensive meta-analysis provides a detailed overview of the prevalence and incidence of various CWDs and associated conditions. The results indicate a substantial heterogeneity across the studies, suggesting the need for further research to understand the underlying causes of these variations. The study also highlights the higher prevalence of PDs in Asian individuals compared to American individuals, and a higher incidence of certain conditions such as MVP and scoliosis in PE in American individuals.

The findings of this study have significant clinical implications. Understanding the prevalence and incidence of these deformities can help in early detection and treatment, potentially improving the quality of life for affected individuals. Moreover, the higher incidence of certain conditions in individuals with PDs underscores the importance of regular monitoring and comprehensive care for these patients.

In future, it would be beneficial to conduct more region-specific studies to understand the factors contributing to the observed geographical differences in the prevalence of these deformities. Additionally, longitudinal studies could provide insights into the long-term outcomes and effectiveness of various treatment strategies for these conditions. The stability of the pooled results, as indicated by the "cumulative" and "one-study-removed" analyses, provides a solid foundation for future research in this area. Finally, the absence of publication bias, as indicated by both Egger's and Begg's tests, adds to the credibility of these findings and underscores the need for continued research in this field.

Funding

No external funding.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Fokin AA, Steuerwald NM, Ahrens WA, Allen KE. Anatomical, histologic, and genetic characteristics of congenital chest wall deformities. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009; 21: 44-57.
- Shamberger RC. Chest wall deformities. In: Pediatric Surgery: General Pediatric Surgery, Tumors, Trauma and Transplantation. Puri P (ed.). Springer 2021; 101-21.
- 3. Janssen N, Coorens NA, Franssen AJ, et al. Pectus excavatum and carinatum: a narrative review of epidemiology, etiopathogenesis, clinical features, and classification. J Thorac Dis 2024; 16: 1687-701.
- 4. Williams A, Crabbe D. Pectus deformities of the anterior chest wall. Paediatr Respir Rev 2003; 4: 237-42.
- Kim M, Lee KY, Park HJ, et al. Development of new cardiac deformity indexes for pectus excavatum on computed tomography: feasibility for pre-and post-operative evaluation. Yonsei Med J 2009; 50: 385-900.
- 6. Excavatum P. 20 Chest Wall Deformities. Holcomb and Ashcraft's Pediatric Surgery: Holcomb and Ashcraft's Pediatric Surgery E-Book. 2019; 302.
- 7. Blanco FC, Elliott ST, Sandler AD. Management of congenital chest wall deformities. Semin Plast Surg 2011; 25: 107-16.
- 8. Saxena AK. Pectus excavatum, pectus carinatum and other forms of thoracic deformities. J Indian Assoc Pediatr Surg 2005; 10: 147-57.
- 9. Behr CA, Denning NL, Kallis MP, et al. The incidence of Marfan syndrome and cardiac anomalies in patients presenting with pectus deformities. J Pediatr Surg 2019; 54: 1926-8.
- 10. Frick SL Scoliosis in children with anterior chest wall deformities. Chest Surg Clin N Am 2000; 10: 427-36.
- 11. Saleh RS, Finn JP, Fenchel M, et al. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance in patients with pectus excavatum com-

pared with normal controls. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2010; 12: 73.

- Shamberger RC, Welch KJ, Castaneda AR, Keane JF, Fyler DC. Anterior chest wall deformities and congenital heart disease. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1988; 96: 427-32.
- 13. Raggio IM, Martínez-Ferro M, Bellía-Munzón G, et al. Diastolic and systolic cardiac dysfunction in pectus excavatum: relationship to exercise and malformation severity. Radiol Cardiothorac Imaging 2020; 2: e200011.
- 14. Sonaglioni A, Nicolosi GL, Trevisan R, et al. The influence of pectus excavatum on cardiac kinetics and function in otherwise healthy individuals: a systematic review. Int J Cardiol 2023; 381: 135-44.
- Rodriguez-Granillo GA, Raggio IM, Deviggiano A, et al. Impact of pectus excavatum on cardiac morphology and function according to the site of maximum compression: effect of physical exertion and respiratory cycle. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2020; 21: 77-84.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 2009; 6: e1000097.
- 17. Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute. 2011; 2: 1-12.
- 18. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials revisited. Contemp Clin Trials 2015; 45: 139-45.
- 19. Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Instit 1959; 22: 719-48.
- 20. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics 1994; 50: 1088-101.
- Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ 1997; 315: 629-34.
- 22. Acipayam A, Güllü UU, Güngör Ş. Cardiac anomalies in pediatric patients with pectus excavatum. Rev Assoc Méd Brasil 2023; 69: e20221301.
- 23. Akkaş Y, Peri NG, Koçer B, Gülbahar G, Aksakal FNB. The prevalence of chest wall deformity in Turkish children. Turkish J Med Sci 2018; 48: 1200-6.
- 24. Berktafl MB, Hozikligil M., Sargın H. Türk erkeklerinde pektus deformiteleri prevalansı. Akci¤er Arflivi 2001; 2: 51-5.
- 25. Cascos AS. Association of cardiac and sternal malformations. An Esp Pediatr 1989; 30: 272-4.
- Chelleri C, Guerriero V, Torre M, et al. Anterior chest wall deformities in children with neurofibromatosis type 1. Acta Paediatr 2021; 110: 594-5.
- 27. Christensen TD, Katballe N, Pilegaard HK, de Paoli FV. Prevalence of comorbidities in a surgical pectus excavatum population. J Thorac Dis 2021; 13: 1652-7.
- Coskun ZK, Turgut HB, Demirsoy S, Cansu A. The prevalence and effects of pectus excavatum and pectus carinatum on the respiratory function in children between 7-14 years old. Indian J Pediatr 2010; 77: 1017-9.
- Donnelly LF, Frush DP, Foss JN, O'Hara SM, Bisset III GS. Anterior chest wall: frequency of anatomic variations in children. Radiology 1999; 212: 837-40.
- Esme H. Prevalence of chest wall deformities in primary school children of Afyon city. Turk J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006; 14: 34-7.
- 31. Golladay ES, Char F, Mollitt DL. Children with Marfan's syndrome and pectus excavatum. Southern Med J 1985; 78: 1319-23.

- 32. Hong JY, Suh SW, Park HJ, Kim YH, Park JH, Park SY. Correlations of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis and pectus excavatum. J Pediatr Orthop 2011; 31: 870-4.
- Hou H, Zhang X, Cao J, et al. Imaging characteristics and risk factors of pectus excavatum children with scolisosis. J Clin Pediatr Surg 2023; 22: 511-6.
- 34. Jantarawan T, Sriyoschati S, Subtaweesin T, Thongcharoen P, Laksanabunsong P, Tantiwongkosri K. A pilot study of a sternal closure technique to prevent postoperative pectus carinatum in congenital heart surgery pediatric patients. J Med Assoc Thailand 2017; 100: S10-5.
- Karabulut M. Increased incidence of mitral valve prolapse in children with pectus chest wall deformity. Pediatr Int 2023; 65: e15582.
- 36. Katrancioglu O, Akkas Y, Sahin E, Demir F, Katrancioglu N. Incidence of chest wall deformity in 15,862 students in the province of Sivas, Türkiye. Turk J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2023; 31: 116-22.
- Mandhan P, Ashcraft K, Sharp R, Murphy P, Snyder C, Holder T. Pectus deformities in children: a nine-year experience. Asian J Surg 1999; 22: 301-7.
- Park JM, Varma SK. Pectus excavatum in children: diagnostic significance for mitral valve prolapse. Indian J Pediatr 1990; 57: 219-22.
- Park HJ, Kim JJ, Park JK, Moon SW. Effects of Nuss procedure on thoracic scoliosis in patients with pectus excavatum. J Thorac Dis 2017; 9: 3810-6.
- 40. Port E, Hunter CJ, Buonpane C, et al. Echocardiography reveals heart abnormalities in pediatric pectus carinatum. J Surg Res 2020; 256: 364-7.
- 41. Rajabi-Mashhadi MT, Ebrahimi M, Mobarhan MG, Moohebati M, Boskabady MH, Kazemi-Bajestani SMR. Prevalence of chest wall deformities in a large sample of Iranian children aged 7-14 years. Iran J Pediatr 2010; 20: 221-4.
- 42. Rhee D, Solowiejczyk D, Altmann K, et al. Incidence of aortic root dilatation in pectus excavatum and its association with Marfan syndrome. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2008; 162: 882-5.
- 43. Seliem MA, Duffy CE, Gidding SS, Berdusis K, Benson DW. Echocardiographic evaluation of the aortic root and mitral valve in children and adolescents with isolated pectus excavatum: comparison with Marfan patients. Pediatr Cardiol 1992;13:20-3.
- 44. Shu Q, Shi Z, Xu WZ, et al. Experience in minimally invasive Nuss operation for 406 children with pectus excavatum. World J Pediatr 2011; 7: 257-61.
- 45. Soysal O, Yakıncı C, Durmaz Y. The prevalence of chest wall deformities in primary school children in Malatya city center and an overview of chest wall deformities. Clin Sci Doctor 1999; 5: 382-5.
- 46. Tokur M, Demiöz ŞM, Sayan M, Tokur N, Arpağ H. Chest wall deformities and coincidence of additional anomalies, screening results of the 25.000 Turkish children with the review of the literature. Curr Thorac Surg 2016; 1: 21-7.
- Tomaszewski R, Wiktor Ł, Machała L. Evaluation of thoracic vertebrae rotation in patients with pectus excavatum. Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 2017; 51: 284-9.
- 48. Tröbs R, Hänsel M, Friedrich T, Bennek J. A 23-year experience with malignant renal tumors in infancy and childhood. Eur J Pediatr Surg 2001; 11: 92-8.
- 49. Venkatramani R, Kamath S, Wong K, et al. Correlation of clinical and dosimetric factors with adverse pulmonary outcomes in children after lung irradiation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013; 86: 942-8.
- 50. Wang W, Wang W, Long W, et al. Experience of the Wang procedure in treating pectus excavatum in two

hundred fifty-six paediatric patients. Int Orthop 2022; 46: 2307-13.

- 51. Westphal FL, Lima LC, Lima Neto JC, Chaves AR, Santos Júnior VLd, Ferreira BLC. Prevalence of pectus carinatum and pectus excavatum in students in the city of Manaus, Brazil. J Brasil Pneumol 2009; 35: 221-6.
- Yücesan S, Dindar H, Olcay I, et al. Prevalence of congenital abnormalities in Turkish school children. Eur J Epidemiol 1993; 9: 373-80.
- 53. Zhong W, Ye J, Feng J, et al. Effects of pectus excavatum on the spine of pectus excavatum patients with scoliosis. J Healthcare Engineering 2017; 2017: 5048625.
- 54. Schaerer D, Virbalas J, Willis E, Siegal B, Gonik N, Bent J. Pectus excavatum in children with laryngomalacia. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2013; 77: 1721-3.
- 55. Huang HK, Huang YJ, Lin KH, et al. Severity of pectus excavatum is a risk factor for primary spontaneous pneumothorax. World J Surg 2020; 44: 2035-41.
- Jaroszewski DE, Fonkalsrud EW. Repair of pectus chest deformities in 320 adult patients: 21 year experience. Ann Thorac Surg 2007; 84: 429-33.
- 57. Maagaard M, Tang M, Ringgaard S, et al. Normalized cardiopulmonary exercise function in patients with pectus excavatum three years after operation. Ann Thorac Surg 2013; 96: 272-8.
- Jeong JY, Park HJ, Lee J, Park JK, Jo KH. Cardiac morphologic changes after the Nuss operation for correction of pectus excavatum. Ann Thorac Surg 2014; 97: 474-8.
- 59. Kelly RE, Goretsky MJ, Obermeyer R, et al. Twenty-one years of experience with minimally invasive repair of pectus excavatum by the Nuss procedure in 1215 patients. Ann Surg 2010; 252: 1072-81.
- 60. Ho NC, Tran JR, Bektas A. Marfan's syndrome. Lancet 2005; 366: 1978-81.
- 61. Judge DP, Dietz HC. Marfan's syndrome. Lancet 2005; 366: 1965-76.