Stroke

Predictive value of monocyte-to-high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio (MHR) for poor prognosis after intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute ischaemic stroke

Yinglei Li¹, Lingyun Xi², Litao Li^{3*}

1 Emergency Medicine, Baoding No. 1 Central Hospital, Baoding, China ²Laboratory Medicine, Chinese People's Liberation Army 82nd Army Group Hospital, Baoding, China

3 Department of Neurology, Hebei General Hospital, Shijiazhuang, China

Submitted: 3 November 2023; Accepted: 30 December 2023 Online publication: 28 May 2024

Arch Med Sci 2024; 20 (6): 1809–1821 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms/178013 Copyright © 2024 Termedia & Banach

Abstract

Introduction: The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between monocyte-to-high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio (MHR) and poor short-term 3-month and long-term 6-month prognosis after intravenous thrombolysis in patients with acute ischaemic stroke.

Material and methods: By retrospective analysis, 763 eligible patients with acute ischaemic stroke with intravenous thrombolysis were included in the study, and the general data and clinical laboratory examination results of the patients were collected. The relationship between MHR and poor prognosis at 3 and 6 months in patients with intravenous thrombolysis was derived by stepwise regression using the R language, followed by 1:1 propensity score matching to determine the MHR threshold and to investigate the relationship between high and low MHR values and poor prognosis.

Results: MHR level was found to predict the prognosis of intravenous thrombolysis patients with acute ischaemic stroke, and it was an effective predictor of poor prognosis at 3 and 6 months after intravenous thrombolysis. MHR has a threshold of 0.584. High MHR levels were strongly associated with a poor 3-month prognosis of intravenous thrombolysis in patients with acute ischaemic stroke (OR = 5.657; 95% CI: 4.124–7.762; *p* < 0.001). High MHR level was closely associated with poor prognosis of acute ischaemic stroke patients with intravenous thrombolysis at 6 months (OR = 4.923 ; 95% CI: 3.603–6.726; *p* < 0.001).

Conclusions: MHR level is a valid predictor for poor prognosis at 3-6 months after intravenous thrombolysis in patients in acute ischaemic stroke.

Key words: prognosis, stroke, intravenous thrombolysis, monocyte-to-highdensity lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio.

Introduction

Acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) represents the most prevalent subtype of strokes, characterised by high rates of relapse, disability, and mortality [1, 2]. Global therapeutic expenses for AIS have consistently escalated over the years. While intravenous thrombolysis stands as an internationally recognised early treatment [3, 4], its efficacy is limited because many patients

*Corresponding author:

[Litao Li P](mailto:Litao Li)hD Department of Neurology Hebei General Hospital Shijiazhuang, China E-mail: 19912115906@163. com

Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY -NC -SA 4.0). License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

Creative Commons licenses: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

experience unfavourable outcomes or further exacerbation of symptoms, including thrombolytic haemorrhage [5], ultimately leading to fatality.

Hence, investigating the risk factors associated with poor prognosis following intravenous thrombolytic therapy is crucial for AIS patients. There is growing recognition of the pivotal role of inflammatory response in AIS development, progression, and prognostic outcomes [6]. Dyslipidaemia and inflammatory responses are known to foster the occurrence of atherosclerosis [7].

Monocyte-to-high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio (MHR) is a combination of inflammatory response and lipid metabolism. Approximately 5% of strokes are caused by carotid atherosclerosis, and the inflammatory response plays an important role in the development, progression, and clinical prognosis of AIS due to carotid atherosclerosis. Monocytes [8] can produce reactive oxygen species and differentiate into foamy macrophages, releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines; high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) [9] can inhibit monocyte activation and macrophage migration via apoA-I-mediated CD11b, inhibit p38 activation and inositol phosphokinase activity, and promote reverse cholesterol transport, which possesses anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-atherosclerotic, and antithrombotic effects. The MHR [10], a convergence point of dyslipidaemia and inflammatory pathways, has recently garnered attention as an indicator of inflammatory response and is closely associated with various chronic inflammatory disorders.

MHR strongly correlates with the occurrence and progression of atherosclerosis, making it a potential serum prognostic marker for ischaemic cerebrovascular disease [11]. Some studies have underscored the significance of MHR in predicting early clinical prognostic outcomes in AIS patients [12, 13]. However, there remains a gap in research concerning the relationship between MHR and prognostic outcomes following intravenous thrombolysis. This study aimed to investigate the significance of MHR in predicting the prognostic outcomes of AIS patients who have undergone intravenous thrombolysis. There is an independent correlation between MHR and poor prognosis at 3 and 6 months in AIS patients receiving intravenous thrombolysis treatment.

Material and methods

Study population

The present retrospective observational study spanned from January 2017 to July 2022. AIS patients who received intravenous thrombolysis using recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) at our national advanced stroke centre were included. Approval for this study was obtained

from the Research Ethics Committee of our hospital (ethics approval number: 2022–063). Written informed consent was acquired from each patient or their respective guardian before participation.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age ≥ 18 years; (2) meeting indications for intravenous thrombolysis; and (3) obtaining informed consent from patients or guardians (including re-stroke patients and patients under antiplatelet therapy). The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) individuals with a stroke history having a modified Rankin scale (mRS) score > 2 before the current episode; (2) recipients of endovascular therapy; (3) diagnosed with stroke-like illness post-admission; (4) currently not taking any medication that affects blood lipids; (5) lacking essential test data required for analysis; and (6) patients who were lost to follow-up.

Patients diagnosed with stroke were divided into anterior or posterior circulation groups based on the varied sites of lesion infarction. The aetiological diagnosis was categorised according to the classic 1993 TOAST typology proposed by Adams *et al.* from the United States [14]. This typology includes the atherosclerotic type of large arteries, small artery occlusion, cardiogenic embolic race, stroke of unclear cause, or stroke of additional known aetiology.

Intravenous thrombolysis using rt-PA

AIS patients were primarily admitted to our institution to undergo cranial CT scans to rule out cerebral haemorrhage. Subsequently, patients were treated with intravenous thrombolysis using rt-PA at the standard dose of 0.9 mg/kg (Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany, in 20- and 50-mg sizes) within 4.5 h post onset [15]; 10% of the total dose was administered intravenously over 1 min, while the remaining dose was administered through intravenous pumping using a continuous micropump over a 60-minute period. The maximum administered rt-PA dose was less than 90 mg.

Clinical and laboratory test results

We gathered various patient data, including basic characteristics, vascular risk factors, medication history, severity of the current stroke, baseline clinical examination and laboratory findings, details about the ongoing treatment, and follow-up information for each patient.

The general baseline data included age, sex, body mass index (BMI), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), systolic blood pressure (SBP) at admission, and vascular risk factors (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, atrial fibrillation, coronary artery disease, history of previous stroke, hyperlipidaemia, smoking, or alcohol consumption). Smoking history

was defined as consuming more than one cigarette per day for over 6 consecutive months, while alcohol consumption was defined as more than 50 g/d for over one year. Laboratory tests included baseline blood glucose, haemoglobin (Hb) count, white blood cell count, platelet count, neutrophil (N) count, neutrophil percentage, lymphocyte (L) count, monocyte (M) count, eosinophil (E) count, and various ratios, including neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-neutrophil ratio (PNR), neutrophil-to-eosinophil ratio (NER), and eosinophil-to-monocyte ratio (EMR). Other laboratory data encompassed international normalised ratio, prothrombin time (PT), prothrombin time activity (PTA), partially activated prothrombin time (APT), and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT). Other laboratory information included blood homocysteine (HCY) levels, heart failure measurement, brain natriuretic peptide, lactate dehydrogenase, creatinine, uric acid, total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, LDL-C, blood glucose levels, HDL-C/LDL-C ratio, monocyte-to-HDL-C ratio (MHR), and neutrophil-to-HDL-C ratio (NHR). These measurements were collected in the morning on the second day following patient admission on an empty stomach.

Clinical information collected included baseline National Institute of Health stroke scale (NIHSS) score (before thrombolytic therapy), post-thrombolytic NIHSS score, basic SBP and DBP, onset-to-treatment time (OTT), neutrophil-to-HDL-C ratio (NHR), granulocyte-to-HDL-C ratio, OTT, and door-to-needle time, indicating the time from hospitalisation to drug administration.

Prognostic assessment

All AIS patients were clinically assessed at 3 and 6 months after intravenous thrombolysis treatment using a mRS [16]. These patients were categorised into groups with either a favourable (mRS score \leq 2) or unfavourable (mRS score $>$ 2) prognosis. Clinical prognostic data were obtained through telephone follow-ups and face-to-face visits at 3 and 6 months [17] and were evaluated by 2 qualified senior neurologists unaware of the patient's details. A third senior physician was consulted in the case of disagreement.

Statistical analysis

For data analysis, R software (version 4.0.2; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test assessed the normal distribution of continuous variables. Continuous data were presented as the median and interquartile range (IQR), while categorical data were represented by frequency and percentage (%). The χ^2 test compared categorical data among different groups, and the Mann-Whitney *U* test compared continuous data. Binary logistic regression was performed to evaluate odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for stroke outcomes, using different temporal outcome metrics (3-month poor prognosis and 6-month poor prognosis) as dependent variables. Variables with $p \lt 0.1$ in univariable regression were included in subsequent multivariable logistic regression, screened by the stepwise method. Variables with *p* < 0.05 after multivariable logistic regression were considered statistically significant. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to determine the critical significance of variables, and their maximum Youden exponent values were used for dichotomising the target variables. Propensity score matching (PSM) at a 0.05 calliper using the nearest neighbour method was performed for 1 : 1 matched adjustment to account for confounders based on baseline factors with a standardised mean difference of > 0.10 .

Results

A total of 826 AIS patients were admitted for this study. Among them, 28 received endovascular bridging, 18 lacked sufficient clinical information, 11 had pre-morbid mRS scores > 2, 3 were lost to follow-up, and 3 had stroke-like disease.

Finally, 763 patients were available for statistical analyses (Table I). Their median age was 65 years (IQR: 55–72 years), with a body mass index (BMI) of 24.80 kg/m2 (IQR: 22.22–27.04), of whom 508 (66.58%) were male patients. Concerning stroke aetiology, 76.5% of patients had large-artery atherosclerotic strokes, 14.2% had small-artery occlusive strokes, 7.9% had a cardiac cerebral embolism, and the remaining 1.5% had strokes of other well-defined and unknown aetiologies. The median baseline NIHSS score was 5 (IQR: 2–11), median OTT was 128 min (IQR: 92–176 min), and median outpatient-to-drug-administration time was 50 min (IQR: 35–73 min) for enrolled patients. At the 3-month mark, 329 (43.1%) patients exhibited a dismal prognostic outcome, while 355 patients (46.5%) did so at 6 months. The distribution of MHR, M, and HDL-C in the study population is illustrated in Figure 1.

Table II presents a comparison of MHR, M, and HDL-C metrics between patients with good and poor prognoses at 3 and 6 months.

Analysis from Table II reveals that patients exhibiting poor prognostic outcomes at 3 months had higher MHR and M levels (0.74, *p* < 0.001; 0.56, *p* < 0.001, respectively) and lower HDL-C levels (0.85, $p < 0.001$) than those with good prognoses. Similarly, those with dismal prognostic outcomes at 6 months displayed increased MHR and M levels (0.68, *p* < 0.001; 0.55, *p* < 0.001, respec-

Table I. Baseline characteristics (*n* = 763)

Variables	Results
Age [years]	$65(55-72)$
Male/female	508/255
Stroke aetiology:	
Unknown cause	$6(0.8\%)$
Large-artery atherosclerosis	584 (76.5%)
Other causes	5(0.7%)
Small-artery occlusion, n	108 (14.2%)
Cardioembolism, n	60 (7.9%)
Smoking, <i>n</i>	356 (46.7%)
Drinking, n	247 (32.4%)
Diabetes mellitus, n	171 (22.4%)
Past stroke history, n	103 (13.5%)
Atrial fibrillation, <i>n</i>	97 (12.7%)
Coronary heart disease, n	173 (22.7%)
Hypercholesterolaemia, n	9(1.2%)
Hypertension, <i>n</i>	474 (62.1%)
Homocysteinaemia, n	259 (33.9%)
BMI [$kg/m2$]	24.80 (22.22-27.04)
OTT [min]	128 (92-176)
DNT [min]	50 (35–73)
Post thrombolysis NIHSS	$5(2-11)$
[points]	
After thrombolysis NIHSS	$3(2-10)$
[points]	
SBP at admission [mm Hg]	146 (135-159)
DBP at admission [mm Hg]	82 (76–89)
WBC counts $\left[\times 10^9/l\right]$	7.39 (6.01-9.00)
HGB [g/l]	144 (133-155)
PLT counts [x 10 ¹² /l]	217 (179-256)
N counts $[x 10^9/l]$	4.49 (3.43-5.90)
PNR, ratio	47.49 (35.23-65.01)
L counts $[x 10^9/l]$	1.86 (1.36-2.50)
NLR, ratio	2.25 (1.50-3.86)
E counts $[x 10^9/l]$	$0.10(0.05 - 0.17)$
NER, ratio	45.85 (23.88–103.79)
EMR, ratio	$0.20(0.10-0.33)$
PT[s]	10.80 (10.25-11.50)
APTT	26.40 (24.60-28.85)
Serum glucose [mmol/l]	$6.85(5.81 - 8.90)$
BNP [pg/ml]	30.60 (10.85–119.75)
LDH [U/l]	396.47 (228.50-489.22)
Serum uric acid [µmol/l]	323.40 (263.50–394.41)
TC [mmol/l]	$4.55(3.88 - 5.29)$
Cr [µmol/l]	67.15 (57.46-79.08)
TG [mmol/l]	$1.27(0.91-1.78)$
LDL-C [mmol/l]	2.67 (2.10–3.26)
HDL-C/LDL-C, ratio	$0.37(0.29 - 0.49)$
M counts $[x 10^9/l]$	$0.52(0.40 - 0.64)$
HDL-C [mmol/l]	$1.02(0.79-1.23)$
MHR, ratio	$0.52(0.36 - 0.76)$
NHR, ratio	4.54 (3.17-6.52)

tively) relative to the group with good prognoses, along with lower HDL-C levels $(0.87, p < 0.001)$. MHR, M, and HDL-C significantly differed between the 2 groups. Figure 2 depicts the distribution of MHR, M, and HDL-C indexes in the 3-month and 6-month good and poor prognosis groups.

Association of MHR, M, and HDL-C levels with poor prognosis

Table III displays univariable and multivariable regression outcomes concerning a 3-month poor prognosis. Upon univariable regression, M, HDL-C, and MHR levels exhibited associations with a poor 3-month prognostic outcome (M: OR = 5.308; 95% CI: 2.449–11.504; *p* < 0.001; HDL-C: OR = 0.0943; 95% CI: 0.056–0.158; *p* < 0.001; MHR: OR = 14.013; 95% CI: 7.944–24.721; *p* < 0.001). Variables underwent screening via the stepwise method. Following multifactorial logistic regression analysis, it was determined that M and HDL-C levels did not correlate with a dismal 3-month prognosis. However, MHR levels remained associated with a dismal 3-month prognosis (OR = 6.484; 95% CI: 2.919–14.406; *p* < 0.001).

Table IV displays univariable and multivariable regression outcomes concerning the 6-month adverse prognosis. As per the one-way logistic regression, the levels of M, HDL-C, and MHR showed associations with a poor 6-month prognosis (M: OR = 4.888; 95% CI: 2.263–10.558; *p* < 0.001; HDL-C: OR = 0.124; 95% CI: 0.076–0.203; *p* < 0.001; MHR: OR = 10.922; 95% CI: 6.294–18.954; *p* < 0.001). The stepwise method was utilised for variable screening. Following multifactorial logistic regression analysis, M and HDL-C levels did not exhibit a relationship with a dismal 6-month prognosis. However, the MHR level showed a significant association with a dismal 6-month prognosis (OR = 4.948; 95% CI: 2.325–10.532; *p* < 0.001).

Figure 3 displays the relationship between MHR, M, and HDL-C levels and patients' 3-month adverse prognosis and 6-month adverse prognosis.

Predictive value of MHR levels (dichotomised) for 3-month adverse prognosis and 6-month adverse prognosis

ROC curves were plotted to establish the critical value of MHR levels, which was determined to be 0.584 based on the maximum Youden's index. Patients were categorised as having high or low MHR groups (MHR \geq 0.584 or < 0.584) using this threshold. According to binary logistic regression, high MHR levels significantly correlated with a dismal 3-month prognosis following intravenous thrombolysis among AIS patients (OR = 5.657, 95% CI: 4.124–7.762; *p* < 0.001). PSM was employed to assess the relationship between MHR

Table II. Bivariate comparison of MHR, M, and HDL-C profiles between patients with favourable outcome and unfavourable outcome at 3 and 6 months

levels and patient prognosis, matching baseline factors between patients in the high and low MHR groups (Table V). Following PSM, a higher proportion of patients with high MHR had a 3-month adverse prognosis than that of those with low MHR (68.4% vs. 36.8%, *p* < 0.001), which showed a statistically significant difference (Figures 4 A, C). Additionally, a greater percentage exhibited a 6-month adverse prognosis (69.7% vs. 43.2%, *p* < 0.001), also showing a statistically significant difference (Figures 4 B, D).

Discussion

MHR independently predicted clinical prognostic outcomes in AIS patients treated with intravenous thrombolysis. Elevated MHR levels were a valid factor strongly correlating with dismal prognostic outcomes at both 3 and 6 months after intravenous thrombolysis. An MHR threshold of 0.584 was identified, where higher MHR levels were significantly associated with poorer 3-month (OR = 5.657; 95% CI: 4.124– 7.762; $p \lt 0.001$) and 6-month (OR = 4.923; 95% CI: 3.603–6.726; *p* < 0.001) prognostic outcomes among AIS patients. These findings underscore the correlation between high MHR and adverse prognostic outcomes.

Atherosclerosis, as highlighted in studies [18], stands as the primary cause of AIS. It represents a chronic inflammatory vascular disorder triggered by various risk factors [19, 20]. Notably, athero-

Figure 2. Distribution of MHR, M and HDL-C profiles of patients with favourable outcome and unfavourable outcome in 3 months (A, C, E) and 6 months (B, D, F)

sclerosis independently predicts the risk of ischaemic cerebrovascular disease, laying the critical pathological groundwork for the occurrence and progression of cardio-cerebral and cerebrovascular events. At its core, atherosclerosis manifests as an inflammatory lesion. The progressive worsening of atherosclerosis can lead to elastic fibre degradation, reduced vascular elasticity, and subsequent hardening of the blood vessel wall. This diminished compliance increases susceptibility to thrombus formation and plaque rupture, ultimately culminating in cerebrovascular disease. Monocytes [21, 22], recognised as common markers of systemic inflammatory response, play a pivotal role in all stages of atherosclerosis and are closely associated with the progression of atherosclerotic plaques. These cells generate reactive oxygen species and subsequently transform into foamy macrophages,

producing pro-inflammatory factors. This process drives circulating monocytes toward the lesion site, contributing to the vulnerability of atherosclerotic plaques and leading to thrombosis or adverse outcomes. HDL-C [23] plays a crucial role in inhibiting monocyte activation and macrophage migration, while also safeguarding vascular endothelial cells from inflammation and oxidative stress. HDL-C actively mitigates and reverses monocyte activation through apoA-I-induced suppression of CD11b, facilitating intracellular efflux of excess cholesterol during disease onset and retarding the formation of atherosclerotic plaques. Moreover, HDL-C [24] regulates endothelial function by exerting anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory activities. It also influences monocyte activation, adhesion, and invasion. Some studies [25] have indicated that impairment of HDL-C-induced cholesterol ef-

Table III. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses depicting the association of lipid profile and other baseline characteristics with 3-month unfavourable outcome after intravenous thrombolysis

Table IV. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses depicting the association of lipid profile and other baseline characteristics with 6-month unfavourable outcome after intravenous thrombolysis

Figure 3. Association of MHR, M, and HDL-C level with a 3-month unfavourable outcome (A, C, E) and 6-month unfavourable outcome (B, D, F)

 $\dot{\vec{r}}$

flux and monocytosis resulting from low HDL-C levels contribute to the progression of atherosclerosis. Overall, HDL exhibits anti-inflammatory, antithrombotic, and antioxidant effects in patients.

Considering the pro-inflammatory and oxidative effects of monocytes on promoting inflammation and oxidation of monocytes alongside the inhibitory effect of HDL-C on monocyte recruitment, LDL oxidation, and cholesterol efflux enhancement, MHR is considered a new marker for predicting acute cerebral infarction development. First, at the onset of AIS, monocytes bind to adhesion molecules on the injured vascular endothelium, migrate to the subendothelial space, mature into macrophages [26], and are converted to foam cells after internalising accumulated lipids. Foam cells release a variety of proinflammatory and prooxidant cytokines that attract T lymphocytes and more monocytes to accumulate [27]. Foam cells are further transformed into key components of the atherosclerotic core through necrosis. Increased production of cytokines and chemokines, as well as activation of matrix metalloproteinases, in turn leads to connexin cleavage and disruption of blood-brain barrier integrity [28]. Second, HDL-C promotes reverse cholesterol transport from the peripheral vasculature to the liver and reduces lipid accumulation in the peripheral vasculature [29], which undergoes peroxidation and forms oxidised phospholipids, which are then further hydrolysed and derivatised to form [30] lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC), which mediates the major atherosclerotic activity of oxidised lipids. Its elevated LPC levels promote the expression of adhesion molecules and chemoattractants by endothelial cells. In addition, decreased HDL-C leads to reduced inhibition of pro-inflammatory and pro-oxidant functions of monocytes. Finally, it can lead to increased intracranial atherosclerosis and ischaemic stroke. Accordingly, it is clear that MHR is an atherosclerosis by assessing inflammation and dyslipidaemia, which are the basic mechanisms of ischaemic stroke.

Severe neurological injury in acute cerebral infarction patients reflects heightened inflammatory responses in brain tissue, indicating poor recovery in the ischaemic semidomedullary zone as well as the compressed brain tissue and poorer prognostic outcomes [31]. MHR proves more valuable in predicting prognosis compared to monocyte counts or HDL-C concentrations. Previous research by Kanbay *et al.* [32] suggested MHR as a predictor of cardiovascular events in chronic kidney disease patients. Moreover, in a US cohort study [33], MHR showed significant associations with cardiovascular and all-cause mortality across the general population, irrespective of identified risk factors. Insightful studies conducted on a population-based Chinese cohort [34] revealed an intriguing correlation: the level of MHR was observed to exhibit a linear relationship with the risk

Figure 4. The 3-month outcome (A, C) and 6-month outcome (B, D) evaluated using the mRS score of patients in the high MHR (> 0.584 mmol/l) and low MHR (< 0.584 mmol/l) groups before (A, B) and after (C, D) propensity score matching

of ischaemic stroke within a large community-based population. Notably, MHR served as a significant indicator of atherosclerosis burden, demonstrating superior predictive capabilities compared with traditional clinical risk factors. This suggests its potential application in stratifying the risk among ischaemic stroke patients. These findings hold substantial importance in devising strategies aimed at reducing MHR levels to prevent adverse cardiovascular outcomes. Furthermore, additional studies [35] have confirmed that elevated MHR levels independently forecasted an unfavourable prognosis and increased all-cause mortality among patients with ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA). However, to date, to the best of my knowledge, no relationship has been found between MHR and outcomes in patients who did not receive treatment or who received endovascular therapy, pending the need for further research findings to be explored.

The present study has certain strengths. First, all MHR specimens were collected within the initial 24 h post stroke; thus, heterogeneity among the blood samples was relatively small. Second, PSM analyses were performed to balance baseline factors between patients with high and low MHR groups, confirming the effects of higher MHR levels on poor stroke thrombolysis outcomes, independent of other risk factors such as monocytes and HDL-C. Finally, this study demonstrated the significance of MHR in predicting poor 3-month and 6-month prognostic outcomes in AIS patients receiving intravenous thrombolytic therapy, and higher MHR levels were associated with poorer short-term versus long-term prognostic outcomes among AIS patients.

However, limitations exist in this work. The study had a small sample size, being a single-centre retrospective study, potentially introducing bias. For example, the slightly higher fasting venous blood glucose in the patients included in the study and analysed in this study may have slightly affected the present results. Therefore, large-scale multicentre studies are warranted. Moreover, we only recorded baseline MHR and did not monitor MHR values at discharge and follow-up, which lacks dynamic monitoring of MHR changes and requires further research and analysis in future research. Finally, our inclusion criteria, although we excluded patients currently taking statins, did not take into account the application of other factors affecting lipids, such as cholesterol transfer protein inhibitors, legumes, and fruits. Eliminating these confounding factors is a major project.

In conclusion, the study affirms the independent association between MHR and dismal 3- and 6-month prognoses in AIS patients undergoing intravenous thrombolysis. MHR, with a threshold of 0.584, serves as a strong predictor of adverse prognostic outcomes in these patients, surpassing the predictive value of monocytes and HDL-C. Therefore, MHR has the potential to be used as a tool for prognostic prediction in AIS patients undergoing intravenous thrombolysis.

Funding

The present study was funded by the Health and Wellness Committee of Hebei Province, China. (No. 20241053).

Ethical approval

Approval for this study was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of our hospital (ethics approval number: 2022–063).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Zhu H, Hu S, Li Y, et al. Interleukins and ischemic stroke. Front Immunol 2022; 13: 828447.
- 2. Herpich F, Rincon F. Management of acute ischemic stroke. Crit Care Med 2020; 48: 1654-63.
- 3. Du H, Lei H, Ambler G, et al. Intravenous thrombolysis before mechanical thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke: a meta-analysis. J Am Heart Assoc 2021; 10: e022303.
- 4. Tsivgoulis G, Katsanos AH, Sandset EC, et al. Thrombolysis for acute ischaemic stroke: current status and future perspectives. Lancet Neurol 2023; 22: 418-29.
- 5. Qiu L, Fu F, Zhang W, He J, Zhan Z, Cheng Z. Prevalence, risk factors, and clinical outcomes of remote intracerebral hemorrhage after intravenous thrombolysis in acute ischemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurol 2023; 270: 651-61.
- 6. Frostegård J. Immunity, atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease. BMC Med 2013; 11: 117.
- 7. Libby P. The changing landscape of atherosclerosis. Nature 2021; 592: 524-33.
- 8. Endres M, Moro MA, Nolte CH, Dames C, Buckwalter MS, Meisel A. Immune pathways in etiology, acute phase, and chronic sequelae of ischemic stroke. Circ Res 2022; 130: 1167-86.
- 9. Hu J, Xi D, Zhao J, et al. High-density lipoprotein and inflammation and its significance to atherosclerosis. Am J Med Sci 2016; 352: 408-15.
- 10. Villanueva DLE, Tiongson MD, Ramos JD, Llanes EJ. Monocyte to high-density lipoprotein ratio (MHR) as a predictor of mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) among ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: a meta-analysis. Lipids Health Dis 2020; 19: 55.
- 11. Bolayir A, Gokce SF, Cigdem B, et al. Monocyte/high-density lipoprotein ratio predicts the mortality in ischemic stroke patients. Neurol Neurochir Pol 2018; 52: 150-5.
- 12. Bi X, Liu X, Cheng J. Monocyte to high-density lipoprotein ratio is associated with early neurological deterioration in acute isolated pontine infarction. Front Neurol 2021; 12: 678884.
- 13. Zhang Y, Li S, Guo YL, et al. Is monocyte to HDL ratio superior to monocyte count in predicting the cardiovascular outcomes: evidence from a large cohort of Chinese patients undergoing coronary angiography. Ann Med 2016; 48: 305-12.
- 14. Adams HP Jr, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. Stroke 1993; 24: 35-41.
- 15. Hacke W, Kaste M, Fieschi C, et al. Intravenous thrombolysis with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for acute hemispheric stroke. The European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS). JAMA 1995; 274: 1017-25.
- 16. Yoshimura S, Sakai N, Yamagami H, et al. Endovascular therapy for acute stroke with a large ischemic region. N Engl J Med 2022; 386: 1303-13.
- 17. Ball SL, Newbould J, Corbett J, Exley J, Pitchforth E, Roland M. Qualitative study of patient views on a 'telephone-first' approach in general practice in England:

speaking to the GP by telephone before making face-toface appointments. BMJ Open 2018; 8: e026197.

- 18. Fan J, Watanabe T. Atherosclerosis: known and unknown. Pathol Int 2022; 72: 151-60.
- 19. Kong P, Cui ZY, Huang XF, Zhang DD, Guo RJ, Han M. Inflammation and atherosclerosis: signaling pathways and therapeutic intervention. Signal Transduct Target Ther 2022; 7: 131.
- 20. Ugovšek S, Zupan J, Rehberger Likozar A, Šebeštjen M. Influence of lipid-lowering drugs on inflammation: what is yet to be done? Arch Med Sci 2021; 18: 855-69.
- 21. Kim KW, Ivanov S, Williams JW. Monocyte recruitment, specification, and function in atherosclerosis. Cells 2020; 10: 15.
- 22. Blank-Stein N, Mass E. Macrophage and monocyte subsets in response to ischemic stroke. Eur J Immunol 2023; 53: e2250233.
- 23. von Eckardstein A, Nordestgaard BG, Remaley AT, Catapano AL. High-density lipoprotein revisited: biological functions and clinical relevance. Eur Heart J 2023; 44: 1394-407.
- 24. Jia C, Anderson JLC, Gruppen EG, et al. High-density lipoprotein anti-inflammatory capacity and incident cardiovascular events. Circulation 2021; 143: 1935-45.
- 25. Plubell DL, Fenton AM, Rosario S, et al. High-density lipoprotein carries markers that track with recovery from stroke. Circ Res 2020; 127: 1274-87.
- 26. Barrett TJ. Macrophages in atherosclerosis regression. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2020; 40: 20-33.
- 27. Ross R. Atherosclerosis: an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med 1999; 340: 115-26.
- 28. Lakhan SE, Kirchgessner A, Tepper D, Leonard A. Corrigendum: matrix metalloproteinases and blood-brain barrier disruption in acute ischemic stroke. Front Neurol 2018; 9: 202.
- 29. Rader DJ, Alexander ET, Weibel GL, Billheimer J, Rothblat GH. The role of reverse cholesterol transport in animals and humans and relationship to atherosclerosis. J Lipid Res 2009; 50 Suppl: S189-94.
- 30. Rong JX, Berman JW, Taubman MB, Fisher EA. Lysophosphatidylcholine stimulates monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 gene expression in rat aortic smooth muscle cells. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2002; 22: 1617-23.
- 31. Sanossian N, Saver JL, Navab M, Ovbiagele B. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol: an emerging target for stroke treatment. Stroke 2007; 38: 1104-9.
- 32. Kanbay M, Solak Y, Unal HU, et al. Monocyte count/HDL cholesterol ratio and cardiovascular events in patients with chronic kidney disease. Int Urol Nephrol 2014; 46: 1619-25.
- 33. Jiang M, Yang J, Zou H, Li M, Sun W, Kong X. Monocyte-to-high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio (MHR) and the risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: a nationwide cohort study in the United States. Lipids Health Dis 2022; 21: 30.
- 34. Wang HY, Shi WR, Yi X, Zhou YP, Wang ZQ, Sun YX. Assessing the performance of monocyte to high-density lipoprotein ratio for predicting ischemic stroke: insights from a population-based Chinese cohort. Lipids Health Dis 2019; 18: 127.
- 35. Xu Q, Wu Q, Chen L, et al. Monocyte to high-density lipoprotein ratio predicts clinical outcomes after acute ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. CNS Neurosci Ther 2023; 29: 1953-64.